



Dipartimento di Scienze Statistiche
Università degli Studi di Padova
Via C. Battisti, 241 - 35121 Padova
CF: 80006480281

Bando FIS 2 SH7
ADVANCED GRANT
Titolo SOCIAL
Progetto FIS-2023-03561
CUP C53C24001510001

DISCLAIMER: The English version is a translation of the original in Italian for information purposes only. In case of a discrepancy, the Italian original will prevail

SELECTIVE PROCEDURE FOR THE RECRUITMENT OF 1 FIXED-TERM RESEARCH CONTRACT, FULL-TIME POSITION, AT THE DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICAL SCIENCES PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 22 OF LAW 240/2010 – GSD 13/STAT-03 DEMOGRAPHY AND SOCIAL STATISTICS – SSD STAT-03/A DEMOGRAPHY, STAT-03/B SOCIAL STATISTICS – SCIENTIFIC SUPERVISOR: PROF. BRUNO ARPINO

Selection procedure dated 17.09.2025

Title of the research project: *Older adults' use of digital tools and wellbeing*

Contract Duration: 24 months

Financial coverage: Funded by the Italian Ministry of Research (MUR) under the call FIS 2, SH7

Advanced Grant, Project Code FIS-2023-03561, CUP C53C24001510001

REPORT N. 1

The Evaluation Committee for the aforementioned selection procedure, appointed by Decree No. 134 of 27.10.2025 of the Director of the Department of Statistical Sciences, is composed as follows:

Prof. Bruno Arpino, University of Padova

Prof.ssa Maria Sironi, University of Padova

Prof. Marco Tosi, University of Padova

Met on 29.10.2025 at 3 p.m. via zoom (bruno.arpino@unipd.it; maria.sironi@unipd.it; marco.tosi@unipd.it).

The Committee appoints Professor. Bruno Arpino as Chair and Professor Maria Sironi as Secretary.

The Committee declares that it has examined the call for applications and the current Regulations governing the awarding of research contracts pursuant to Article 22 of Law No. 240/2010, and acknowledges that its work must be completed within three months from the date of publication of the appointment decree.

The Committee acknowledges that, pursuant to Article 10, paragraph 6 of the Regulations, it must identify the successful candidate, who must have obtained a minimum overall score of 60 out of 100, and draw up the list of all candidates who have received a positive evaluation, indicating their respective scores.

For the comparative evaluation of the candidates, the Committee acknowledges the scores to be assigned to the evaluation elements specified in the call for applications and furthermore establishes in advance the criteria for their assessment as follows:

- **for the scientific and professional curriculum, including overall scientific output and research activities carried out at public and private institutions, to be evaluated with particular reference to their relevance to the contents of the research project (maximum 30 points)**

- a) *scholarships and/or contracts/appointments for research activities* **up to 10 points**
- b) *overall assessment of the curriculum, including overall scientific productivity, with reference to its quality, relevance to the project's content, intensity, and temporal continuity in relation to academic age (without prejudice to duly documented periods of suspension of the employment relationship and other periods of leave or leave of absence established by current laws and different from those provided for study purposes);* **up to 15 points**
- c) *national and international awards and recognitions for research activities* **up to 5 points**

- **scientific publications, including the doctoral thesis, and other documentable research outputs, with particular reference to their relevance to the contents of the research project (maximum 30 points)**

** By "documentable research outputs" it is meant the outputs listed among those eligible for evaluation in the most recent ANVUR research quality assessment available on the date of the call's publication.*

- a) *scientific publications, including the doctoral dissertation (score per publication from 1 to 6 based on relevance: 6 points = fully relevant; 5 points = highly relevant; 4 points = partially relevant; 3 points = weakly relevant; 2 points = marginally relevant; 1 point = not relevant at all)*

up to 6 points per publication

- b) *other documented research outputs (e.g., software packages; score per output from 0.5 to based on relevance: 3 points = fully relevant; 2.5 points = highly relevant; 2 points = partially relevant; 1.5 points = weakly relevant; 1 point = marginally relevant; 0.5 points = not relevant at all)*

up to 3 points per output

Only publications or texts accepted for publication in accordance with current regulations, as well as essays included in collective works and articles published in print or digital journals, will be considered. Internal notes or departmental reports without an international ISSN or ISBN code are excluded, as well as other documentable research outputs listed among the evaluable products in the most recent ANVUR Research Quality Assessment available at the date of publication of the call.

- **Oral interview aimed at assessing research aptitude (maximum 40 points)**

The content of the oral examination will be as follows:

Questions from the committee on the following topics:

- o in-depth knowledge of topics related to the project (e.g., ageing, digital divide, theoretical models of technology adoption and diffusion, social determinants of well-being, theoretical models on the determinants and consequences of social relationships across the life course)
- o advanced knowledge of statistical methodologies useful for carrying out the project (e.g., causal inference, longitudinal models, structural equation models, instrumental-variable models and related approaches, multiple imputation)
- o discussion of the candidate's previous research experiences most closely related to the project topics.

The Committee establishes that the evaluation of the oral examination will take into account the clarity of presentation, analytical skills, and depth of knowledge of the topics.

The foreign language whose adequate knowledge will be assessed through the oral examination is English. The criteria to be used in the evaluation are: clarity of presentation and precision in the use of scientific language.

The Committee decides to reconvene for the comparative evaluation of the candidates' qualifications and publications on 11.11.2025 at 10 a.m. via zoom.

As provided in the call for applications, the candidates are invited **on 17 November 2025 starting at 10:00 a.m., according to the interview schedule published on the University Notice Board and on the Department's website** at the following link: <https://www.stat.unipd.it/procedura-selettiva-il-conferimento-di-contratti-di-ricerca-ai-sensi-dell%E2%80%99art-22-della-l-2402010-0> for the interview that will take place via this ZOOM link: <https://unipd.link/DIPSTAT2025CR02>.

At 3.45 p.m. The Chair declares the meeting closed.

This report is read and approved by all members of the Committee, who declare their agreement with its content.

President Prof. Bruno Arpino at Università degli Studi di Padova (SIGNATURE)



Secretary Prof Maria Sironi at Università degli Studi di Padova (SIGNATURE)

Component Prof. Marco Tosi at Università degli Studi di Padova ... (SIGNATURE)